JAN. 2 3 2017

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT CLERK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BY DISTRICT OF JOYNA CASE NO: 2-15CRO0222-COI PETITIONER VS. CRIMINAL CASE NO: ______ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA RESPONDENT)

MOTION FOR MODIFICATION OF SENTENCE PURSUANT TO 18 USC §3582 (c)(2) BASED ON A RETROACTIVE AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES SENTENCING GUIDELINES

Modification Of Sentence Pursuant To 18 USC §3582 (c)(2), based on a Retroactive Amendment to the United States Sentencing Guidelines, has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment based upon a sentencing range that has been subsequently lowered by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 994 (o), thus upon motion to this Court, by the above defendant, permits departure.

Petitioner, Jlone was sentenced on July 14, 20 16 by the Motion Pistrict Court to a federal term of imprisonment of 60 months, with a projected release date of 000.07, 2020.

Petitioner is currently serving her federal sentence at Bryan Federal Prison Camp, Bryan, Texas. (See Exhibit A-Judgment/Committal.)

The United States Sentencing Commission made the retroactive Amendment 782 to the Sentencing Guidelines, with intention to implement lowering of drug penalties by and for the following:

- 1. Federal drug trafficking offenses by two base offense levels.
- 2. For all types of drugs listed in the guidelines § 2D1.1.
- 3. Those not career offenders.

The Amendment became effective on or about November 1, 2014. Petitioner's current base offense level at her initial sentencing was 24. With the "Drugs Minus Two" reduction, the Petitioner's base offense level would be lowered to 22, and the Petitioner should be resentenced to a term of imprisonment of _____ months.

Further, in light of the Federal Sentencing framework, it is clear that when a defendant's sentence has been set aside, at/on appeal, or resentenced, the District Court should consider the evidence of the defendant's rehabilitation since his prior sentencing, and that evidence in an appropriate case, supports a downward departure from the Guideline. See Williams v. New York 337 US 241, 93 L. Ed. 1337 (1949), United States v. Watts 519 US 148, 136 L. Ed. 2d 584 (1997), Gall v. United States 169 L. Ed. 2d 445 (2007), United States v. Bryson 229 F3d 425 (2nd Circuit 2000),

In assuring deterrence, protection of the public, and rehabilitation 18 USC §3553 (a)(2)(B)-(C) and (D), there would seem no better evidence than a defendant's post-incarceration conduct. Post sentencing also critically informs a District judge's duty under §3553 (a) to impose a sentence sufficient, but not greater than necessary to comply with sentencing purposes set forth in §3553 (a)(2), not adequately taken into consideration by the Sentencing Commission. (Please see Exhibit B- Inmate Programming, Education, and Disciplinary Data Sheet.)

Wherefore, In prays this Honorable District Court consider and give appropriate weight to the Petitioner's post sentencing rehabilitation evidence, in addition to the Retroactive Amendment 782 "Drugs Minus Two" reduction.

Respectfully Submitted,

Florio, Emily

Inmate # 49291380

Federal Prison Camp

Po Box 2149

Certificate Of Service

This is to certify that I have served a true and correct copy of the foregoing:

Motion For Modification Of Sentence

Pursuant To 18 USC §3582 (c)(2)

Based On A Retroactive Amendment To The

United States Sentencing Guidelines

Sufficient postage for delivery via the United States Postal Service to:
Honorable U.S. Diotrict Judgesmoses
111 & Broadway Rm #8300
Del Rio Jexas: 78840
And deposited in the postal box provided on the grounds of the Federal Prison Camp-Bryan, Bryan, Texas 77805 on this day of, 2016

Flores, Enily Reg. No. 49291380

Federal Prison Camp- Bryan

Po Box 2149,

Bryan, Tx 77805

One #4929200

TO DEL 20 DE POST

jan, Jexas 77805